

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,888	07/10/2003	Mahesh V. Patel	U 014705-6	1679
140 LADAS & PAI	7590 03/19/2007 RRY		EXAMINER	
26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023			MORRIS, PATRICIA L	
NEW TORK,	N1 10025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/616,888	PATEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Patricia L. Morris	1625		

Advisory Action	10/616,888					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia L. Morris	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).	- THO THE ET WHO T				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 03 November 2006. A	brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4	1.37 must be filed with	in two months			
of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause:			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		TE below),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		maliant Amandment (DTOL 224)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- 		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 11-16</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7-10 and 17-28</u> .						
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	it before or on the date of filing a N	otics of Annual will ma	t he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		n N			
		Patricia E. Morris Primary Examiner	Moni			
		Art Unit: 1625				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In view of applicants' amendments to the claim 1 deleting the additional heterocyclic compounds, claim 5 now lacks antecedent basis because numerous specific heterocycles are recited on pages 14-20 of the instant response.